



BIP EVALUATION EXAMPLE DESIGN / CONSULTING SERVICES - DESIGN-BUILD RFP

Disclaimer:

The information provided herein is an example for general information purposes only. Use of any of these sample documents is at your own risk. The information provided is of a general nature and may not address the specific circumstances of a particular RFP. All companies referenced in this document are fictitious and used for example purposes only, any similarities with actual registered companies is strictly coincidental.

Purpose:

The following is an example of how credit under the GNWT Business Incentive Policy is given for Design/Consulting Services in a Design-Build RFP process.

Please refer to the two sample BIP forms on pages 3 and 4.

Assumptions:

For the purposes of this example Best Northern Contracting Ltd. is an approved business under the BIP and is 'Local'.

Considerations:

To calculate the credit Best Northern Contracting Ltd. would receive under the BIP, the following is considered:

- The Grand Total for Design/Consulting Services is \$759,300.00
- The BIP status of sub-contractors listed on the forms has been confirmed (identified on sample forms)
 - Great North Architects are not BIP registered but are based in the NWT and in the local community (thus explaining the labour claim of \$300,000)
- \$466,500.00 has been identified as 'Local Content' on the Services form
- \$800.00 has been identified as 'Local Content' on the Goods form
- \$127,000.00 has been identified as 'NWT Content' on the Services form
- Any claims for 'Other Costs' are for BIP registered businesses in the communities that they are registered.

Determining the score for Local Content:

$\$466,500 + \$800.00 = \$467,300.00$

$(\$467,300.00 / \$759,300.00) \times (10) = 6.15$

Determining the score NWT Content:

$\$467,300.00 \text{ (local)} + \$127,000.00 = \$594,300.00$

$(\$594,300.00 / \$759,300.00) \times (10) = 7.83$

As a result of this evaluation Best Northern Contracting Ltd. would receive a score of 6.15 for Local Content (Item 7 of Table 1) and 7.83 for NWT Content (Item 6 of Table 1).

- Table 1 -

DESIGN BUILD PROPOSAL RATING SCHEDULE

Part 1

Item	Rating Criteria	Assigned Weight (A)	Unit Points Awarded (B)	Total Points (A) x (B) = (C)
1	Facility Design and Construction	20		
2	Methodology	20		
3	Project Schedule	10		
4	Past Relevant Experience	10		
5	Cost of Design Services (Note 1)	20		
6	NWT Content (Note 2)	15	7.83	117.45
7	Local Content (Note 3)	5	6.15	30.75
9	Subtotal	100	N/A	
10	TOTAL POINTS AWARDED FOR PART 1 (PRO-RATED TO 70%)			

Part 2

Item	Rating Criteria	Assigned Weight (A)	Unit Points Awarded (B)	Total Points (A) x (B) = (C)
11	Construction Cost (BIP Adjusted – See Note 4)	30		
12	TOTAL POINTS AWARDED FOR PART 2			

Summary

13	Points Awarded for Part 1 (line 10)	
14	Points Awarded for Part 2 (line 12)	
15	TOTAL POINTS AWARDED	

Substantiation of BIP Adjustment NWT and Local Content Form - SERVICES

Substantiation of BIP Adjustment NWT and Local Content Form - GOODS